DATE: April 10, 2009

TO: Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners
State of Nevada
P.O.B. 7328
Reno, Nevada 89510
775·688·2559

FROM: Victoria Giampa
1848 Wellington Court
Henderson, NV 8901
702·434·9027

RE: October 1, 2008

COMPLAINT AGAINST
DR. LOUIS F. MORTILLARO, PhD
501 S. Rancho Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada

VICTORIA GIAMPA

4-10.09
DATE

On October 1, 2008 I filed a complaint against Dr. Louis Mortillaro with the Nevada State Board of Medical Examination for Dr. Mortillaro deliberately failing to communicate with me and failing to provide a plan tailored to address the unique facts of my family as outlined in his report pursuant to Judge Gloria Sanchez' Order. I further argued that Dr. Mortillaro deliberately failed to make a good faith effort to address my family's problems through therapy and deliberately failed to maintain reasonable contact with me after conducting an interview. I argued that such denial of psychiatric services was directly caused by Dr. Mortillaro's acts of bad faith which directly caused me to lose custody and visitation of my two minor children.

I further argued that Dr. Mortillaro inadequately addressed Marco's untreated ADHD, untreated anger issues, and problems with receiving an appropriate education from a Christian school as previously Ordered by the Court. Dr. Mortillaro deliberately failed to make any reasonable efforts to assist me and my children to maintain a familial relationship. My loss of parenting my two children was directly caused by such prejudice.

I further argued that Dr. Mortillaro deliberately failed to communicate with me when I obtained evidence from the Metropolitan Police Department that Charles Giampa, our children's father, left the children home alone without parental supervision at the Country Club Towers. Nor did Dr. Mortillaro inquire into Marco's diagnosis of ADHD and living alone without parental supervision which would justify his poor behavior with his mother, teachers, friends, and his sister.

I still contend that Dr. Mortillaro failed to perform to the State of Nevada's minimum professional standards for psychologists and was negligent in his failure to investigate further into my concerns that Charles shunned our children and left them to live alone and without parental supervision at the Country Club Towers.

I cited the policy behind NRS 125.480, Best interest of child... which is to ensure that minor children have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with both parents after the parents have become separated or have dissolved their marriage and to encourage the parents to share the rights and responsibilities of child rearing.

1. In determining the custody of a minor child in an action brought pursuant to this chapter, the court may, except as otherwise provided in this section and chapter 130 of NRS: (a) During the pendency of the action, at the final hearing or at any time thereafter during the minority of any of the children of the marriage, make such an order for the custody, care, education, maintenance and support of the minor children as appears in their best interest; and....

I further argue that as a result of Dr. Mortillaro failing to follow through on communicating with me concerning the parties' custody arrangement, to try to protect and safeguard my children's welfare, which includes treatment for Marco's ADHD and for both children receiving an education from a traditional high school as previously ordered by this Court and other issues involving Sarah's depression and her need for an appropriate education, that Dr. Mortillaro ignores both minor children's welfare

pursuant to NRS 125.510 9(b) which states in pertinent part:

> 9. Except where a contract providing otherwise has been executed pursuant to NRS 123.080, the obligation for care, education, maintenance and support of any minor child created by any order entered pursuant to this section ceases:
> (b) When the child reaches 18 years of age if he is no longer enrolled in high school, otherwise, when he reaches 19 years of age.

I am writing this letter to follow up on the complaint I filed against Dr. Mortillaro and to place this Board on notice that I had to resort to filing a Temporary Restraining Order against my son, Marco. I have for years attempted to get help from Judge Gloria Sanchez and psychiatric help from Dr. Mortillaro for both of my children and both Judge Sanchez and Dr. Mortillaro ignored my pleas.

On March 16, 2009 I contacted Family Court and placed them on notice and pleaded with them to file a Temporary Restraining Order against my son Marco for his poor behavior which has escalated resulting in an incident that occurred on February 9, 2009. [Exhibit No. 1]

On March 16, 2009 I wrote a letter to the Henderson Police Department placing authorities on notice that Marco needs psychiatric help immediately to deal with his rage. Marco's father has legal control of our son and because of Charles' extreme guilt has over-indulged our son without giving him consequences.
[Exhibit 1]

What has happened to my son is a direct result of Dr. Mortillaro's negligence in refusing time and time again to ignore Marco's psychiatric problems.

This letter is a notice to the Nevada Board of Medical Examiners of evidence of my son's behavioral problems and the TPO I filed against him. The Temporary Restraining Order is for the Board's consideration in making its determination to suspend or to revoke Dr. Mortillaro's psychiatric license for his negligence in his failure to investigate further into my concerns that Charles shunned our children and left them to live alone and without parental supervision at the Country Club Towers and for his negligence in failing to provide psychiatric treatment for my family.

In addition, why has the Nevada Board of Medical Examiners taken more than 7 months to make its determination on overwhelming evidence I submitted to the Board concerning the following:

- suspension or revocation of Dr. Louis F. Mortillaro's license to practice psychology;
- order psychiatric counseling for the parties to assist in reunification services; and
- devise a plan so Victoria and her children can reunify and thereby achieve the goal of family preservation.

///
///
///